

TEMPORAL SUSCEPTIBILITY AND THE KYIV–OHNISHI FLOW: A LIE-ALGEBRAIC FRAMEWORK FOR DISSIPATIVE QUANTUM DYNAMICS

Andriy Lemeshko, Isamu Ohnishi, Alona Desiatko

The standard theory of quantum decoherence treats the environment as an external reservoir imposing a fixed decoherence rate. We propose a fundamental reinterpretation: within the Lie-Observable-Dependent Renormalization (LA-ODR) framework, the decoherence rate $\Gamma\tau = \Gamma_0(\chi\tau)^\beta$ is governed by the temporal susceptibility $\chi\tau$ — a state-dependent order parameter of phase-ensemble desynchronization. We derive the Kyiv–Ohnishi hybrid flow $d\chi\tau/dt = -a\Gamma_0(\chi\tau)^\beta - \lambda_{ctrl}\chi\tau + \lambda_{nat}(1-\chi\tau)$, establish four theorems of global asymptotic stability via Lyapunov analysis, and prove a triple algebraic–geometric–thermodynamic correspondence at the fixed point $\chi\tau^ = \lambda_{nat}/(\lambda_{ctrl} + \lambda_{nat})$. The effective state-space metric $ds\tau^2 = (1-\chi\tau)^2 dt^2 - (1+\chi\tau) dx^2$ is a Riemannian analogue of the Fubini–Study metric on susceptibility space, not a physical spacetime metric. The framework provides the mathematical foundation for active coherence control in NISQ quantum devices.*

Keywords: temporal susceptibility; LA-ODR; Kyiv–Ohnishi flow; Lie-algebraic renormalization; nonlinear attractor; Lyapunov stability; spectral gap; state-space metric; NISQ; quantum decoherence control; Kyiv Interpretation.

1. Introduction

1.1 The Problem: Decoherence as an Intrinsic Dynamical Structure

The standard treatment of quantum decoherence models the environment as an external reservoir acting upon the system through Markovian coupling [14, 16]. Within this paradigm, the decoherence rate $\Gamma\tau$ is externally fixed: it can be screened or compensated, but not intrinsically suppressed. Existing mitigation strategies — dynamical decoupling [25], quantum error correction [26, 28], and passive shielding — all operate within this framework.

This paper proposes a fundamental reinterpretation. Within the Lie-Observable-Dependent Renormalization (LA-ODR) framework [5, 6, 7], the decoherence rate is not a fixed external parameter but a state-dependent quantity parametrized by the temporal susceptibility $\chi\tau$:

$$\Gamma\tau = \Gamma_0 \cdot (\chi\tau)^\beta \quad (1)$$

This transforms decoherence from an external perturbation into an emergent property of the system's own algebraic structure — and consequently into a quantity amenable to deterministic suppression.

1.2 The Kyiv–Ohnishi Synthesis

The framework integrates two complementary theoretical traditions. The Kyiv Interpretation (KI) [10, 18, 19] treats the quantum system as an indivisible whole. Decoherence is interpreted as collective geometric desynchronization of the phase ensemble, quantified by $\chi\tau = 1 - \|\Psi\tau\|^2$, where $\|\Psi\tau\|$ is the complex order parameter of the phase ensemble (dissipative analogue of Bose–Einstein condensation in susceptibility space [10]).

The LA-ODR formalism [5, 6, 7] (Ohnishi) embeds the temporal drift generator \mathbf{X}_{time} directly into the Lie algebra of observables $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}\{iH, \hat{L}_k,$

$\hat{L}_k^\dagger, \mathcal{O}_i\}$, promoting time from an external parameter to an intrinsic dynamical variable. This enables controllable algebraic decoupling from dissipative channels through maintenance of Lie-algebraic closure.

The synthesis is expressed through the Kyiv–Ohnishi hybrid susceptibility flow:

$$\begin{aligned} d\chi\tau/dt = \\ = -a \cdot \Gamma_0 \cdot (\chi\tau)^\beta - \lambda_{ctrl} \cdot \chi\tau + \\ + \lambda_{nat} \cdot (1 - \chi\tau) \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

This equation defines a nonlinear attractor structure whose global stability is the primary mathematical result of this paper. Throughout this work, the quantity $ds\tau^2$ defines a Riemannian structure on the space of susceptibility states — analogous to the Fubini–Study metric on the space of pure quantum states — and is explicitly not a metric on physical spacetime.

2. Algebraic Foundations: the LA-ODR Framework

2.1 The Temporal Drift Generator \mathbf{X}_{time}

In the LA-ODR formalism [5], quantum evolution is described by a dynamical Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}\{iH, \hat{L}_k, \hat{L}_k^\dagger, \mathcal{O}_i\}$. The temporal drift operator \mathbf{X}_{time} is embedded into this algebra, promoting time from a passive evolution parameter to an intrinsic dynamical variable. The extended equation of motion for the density matrix is:

$$\begin{aligned} d\rho/dt = -i[H, \rho] + \delta\tau(t) \cdot [\mathbf{X}_{time}, \rho] + \\ + \mathcal{L}_{ctrl}[\rho] \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

Crucially, \mathbf{X}_{time} is not external stochastic noise but an internal geometric phase drift. By modulating the effective metric component $g_{00} = 1 - \chi\tau$ on the state space, the system is re-synchronized within its own algebraic manifold, enabling identification of a maximal Lie-Observable-Dependent Symmetry (Lie-ODS) subalgebra. Remark on \mathbf{X}_{time} (formal definition and standard context). Within the Lie-

algebraic quantum control framework [D'Alessandro 2007, Altafini & Ticozzi 2012], the Hamiltonian is decomposed as $H = H_d + \sum_k u_k H_k$, where H_d is the drift and H_k are control generators. X_{time} is the drift generator associated with the phase degree of freedom: consider the extended space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sys}} \otimes L^2(S^1)$, where S^1 is a compact parameter manifold with coordinate Θ . Then $X_{\text{time}} := -i\hbar \partial_{\Theta}$ is the self-adjoint generator of Θ -translations, canonically conjugate to the phase $\varphi = \ln(\tau/\tau_0)$, with spectrum $\sigma(X_{\text{time}}) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ by compactness of S^1 [Brockett & Rymarchyk 1976, Jurdjevic & Sussmann 1972, Jurdjevic 1997]. This construction is the quantum analogue of the classical drift vector field in geometric control theory. The LA-ODR renormalization flow [5] then compresses the full infinite-dimensional dynamics to a finite-dimensional effective algebra $\tilde{\Lambda}^*$ of dimension $d^* \leq 9$, making X_{time} algebraically tractable. Crucially, this identification places X_{time} within standard quantum control theory — it requires no new ontological postulates beyond those of [Altafini & Ticozzi 2012]. In particular, X_{time} does not introduce additional physical degrees of freedom beyond the extended Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sys}} \otimes L^2(S^1)$: it is the generator of translations on the compact S^1 factor alone, and the extension $\mathcal{H}_{\text{sys}} \otimes L^2(S^1)$ is a standard tool in Floquet and parametric quantum control [D'Alessandro 2007].

2.2 The Universal Fixed-Point Lindbladian

Applying the hierarchical LA-ODR renormalization flow to g demonstrates convergence toward a Universal Fixed-Point Lindbladian L^* . The decay operator takes the form:

$$D[\rho] = \sum_k \mathcal{L}_k(\rho) - \frac{1}{2} \{ \mathcal{L}_k^\dagger \mathcal{L}_k, \rho \} \quad (4)$$

where the jump operators \mathcal{L}_k are Lie-algebraic projections of X_{time} onto observable subspaces. The effective dimensionality of the dissipative manifold at the fixed point is constrained within $d^* \approx 4-9$, establishing that decoherence occurs through a topologically stable, low-dimensional bottleneck — and therefore that stabilization is a finite, computable task. The invariant Krylov tower $\{\text{Re}\langle \hat{a}_c \rangle, \text{Im}\langle \hat{a}_c \rangle, \langle \hat{a}_c^\dagger \hat{a}_c \rangle\}$ remains protected within the Lie-ODS.

2.3 Nonlinear Extension: $\chi\tau$ as a Dynamical Control Parameter

We promote $\chi\tau$ from a static parameter to a dynamical control variable by applying Lie-algebraic closure to X_{time} . The decoherence rate becomes state-dependent: $\Gamma\tau(\chi\tau) = \Gamma_0 \cdot (\chi\tau)^\beta$, where $\beta \geq 1$ characterizes the nonlinearity. The effective Lindbladian is rescaled: $L_{\text{eff}}(\chi\tau) = (\chi\tau)^\beta \cdot L_0$. The

spectral gap scales as $\Delta(\chi\tau) = (\chi\tau)^\beta \cdot \Delta_0$, vanishing as $\chi\tau \rightarrow 0$ — the Dynamical Freezing regime.

3. The Kyiv–Ohnishi Hybrid Susceptibility Flow

3.1 Axiomatic Basis

The dynamics of $\chi\tau$ rest on three axioms:

– Axiom I (Determinism of Drift): decoherence arises from deterministic interaction with local inhomogeneities in the effective state-space metric, mediated by X_{time} — not from inherently random noise.

– Axiom II (Metric Modifiability): the state-space metric component $g_{00} = 1 - \chi\tau$ is a dynamical observable evolving consistently with the dissipative generator.

– Axiom III (Global Attractor): within LA-ODR algebraic closure there exists a unique globally stable state $\chi\tau^* \approx 0$. All deviations from synchronization are suppressed by the hybrid nonlinear flow directed toward this attractor.

3.2 Physical Interpretation of the Flow Terms

Each term in equation (1) has a precise physical meaning (Table 1).

Table 1.
Physical interpretation of the Kyiv–Ohnishi flow terms

Component	Mathematical Term	Physical Meaning
Nonlinear Drift	$-\alpha \cdot \Gamma_0 \cdot (\chi\tau)^{\beta+1}$	Self-reinforcing convergence toward the invariant manifold via intrinsic dissipative dynamics
Active Control	$-\lambda_{\text{ctrl}} \cdot \chi\tau$	Primary linear stabilization provided by the TPLL feedback loop
Metric Elasticity	$+\lambda_{\text{nat}} \cdot (1 - \chi\tau)$	Fundamental coupling constant: intrinsic tendency to decohere; defines lower bound $\chi\tau^* = \lambda_{\text{nat}} / (\lambda_{\text{ctrl}} + \lambda_{\text{nat}})$

3.3 The Temporal Condensate and Definition of $\chi\tau$

The temporal susceptibility is defined through the complex order parameter $\Psi\tau = (1/N) \sum_a \exp(i\varphi_a)$ of the phase ensemble:

$$\chi\tau = 1 - \|\Psi\tau\|^2 \quad (6)$$

where $\|\Psi\tau\|^2$ is the squared coherence magnitude. The state $\chi\tau \rightarrow 0$ corresponds to macroscopic occupation of the dissipative fixed point — a dissipative analogue of Bose–Einstein condensation in susceptibility space. A complete derivation is

given in Appendix A. In the small-fluctuation limit, $\chi\tau \approx \langle (\delta\varphi)^2 \rangle$, recovering the phase variance. Operationally, $\chi\tau$ is directly reconstructable from standard coherence measurements: $\chi\tau \approx \Gamma\tau/\Gamma_0$, where $\Gamma\tau$ is the measured decoherence rate and $\Gamma_0 = 1/T_2^0$ is the uncontrolled baseline. No new measurement apparatus is required.

3.4 Fixed Point and Thermodynamic Consequences

A critical clarification: λ_{nat} is not a residual imperfection of the control loop but a fundamental coupling constant characterizing the system's intrinsic decoherence tendency. It cannot be engineered away. The physical target of the controller is the Non-Equilibrium Steady State (NESS):

$$\chi\tau^* = \lambda_{\text{nat}} / (\lambda_{\text{ctrl}} + \lambda_{\text{nat}}) \quad (7)$$

The asymptotic $\chi\tau = 0$ is recovered only in the limit $\lambda_{\text{ctrl}} \rightarrow \infty$. The von Neumann entropy production rate scales as:

$$dS/dt \approx (\chi\tau)^\beta \cdot (dS_0/dt) \quad (8)$$

As $\chi\tau \rightarrow 0$, entropy production is deterministically suppressed. This is not a violation of the Second Law but a consequence of the Variational Principle of Minimal Coupling: the system minimizes $L_{\text{int}} = -\eta \cdot \chi\tau \cdot \text{Tr}(\rho \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\text{time}})$, achieving algebraic cooling.

4. Rigorous Convergence Analysis

We analyze the Kyiv–Ohnishi flow (1) on the invariant domain $\chi\tau \in (0, 1]$ under the assumptions $\alpha, \Gamma_0, \lambda_{\text{ctrl}}, \lambda_{\text{nat}} > 0, \beta \geq 1$.

4.1 Theorem 4.1 — Global Stability of the Hybrid Attractor

○ Theorem: Under the stated conditions, on the invariant domain $\chi\tau \in (0, 1]$ there exists a unique, globally asymptotically stable fixed point $\chi\tau^*$. In the high-gain regime ($\lambda_{\text{ctrl}} \gg \lambda_{\text{nat}}$), $\chi\tau^* \rightarrow 0$, restoring the flat state-space metric ($g_{00} \rightarrow 1$).

○ Proof: Define the Lyapunov functional $V(\chi\tau) = \frac{1}{2}(\chi\tau - \chi\tau^*)^2$. Let $f(\chi\tau) = d\chi\tau/dt$. Since $f(0) = \lambda_{\text{nat}} > 0$ and $f(1) = -\alpha\Gamma_0 - \lambda_{\text{ctrl}} < 0$ with f strictly decreasing ($f' < 0$), the Intermediate Value Theorem guarantees a unique fixed point $\chi\tau^* \in (0, 1)$. The time derivative $dV/dt = (\chi\tau - \chi\tau^*) \cdot f(\chi\tau)$ is strictly negative for $\chi\tau \neq \chi\tau^*$, establishing global convergence by LaSalle's Invariance Principle [20]. □

4.2 Theorem 4.2 — Exponential Spectral-Gap Protection

○ Theorem: Let $L_{\text{eff}}(\chi\tau) = (\chi\tau)^\beta \cdot L_0$ with spectral gap $\Delta_0 > 0$. Along the trajectory $\chi\tau(t)$:

$$\Delta(t) \leq \Delta_0 \cdot \exp(-c \cdot \beta \cdot t), \quad c = \min(\alpha\Gamma_0, \lambda_{\text{ctrl}} + \lambda_{\text{nat}})$$

○ Proof: Since $\chi\tau(t) \leq \chi\tau(0) \cdot \exp(-ct)$ from Theorem 4.1, and eigenvalues scale as $\lambda_{\text{n}}(\chi\tau) = (\chi\tau)^\beta \cdot \lambda_{\text{n}}(L_0)$, substitution gives the result. □

Remark on $\Delta \rightarrow 0$: gap closure means dissipative channels are being suppressed, which is precisely the engineering objective. $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ is the mathematical signature of successful coherence stabilization, not a catastrophic phase transition.

4.3 Theorem 4.3 — Chiba RG Flow of Optimal Parameters

Within the Chiba renormalization-group framework [1, 2, 3], the optimal parameters ($\alpha^*, \beta^*, \lambda_{\text{ctrl}}^*$) satisfying simultaneous algebraic closure and metric transparency can be derived from the RG flow equations. The fixed-point conditions determine a unique operating regime with guaranteed convergence rate $c^* = \min(\alpha^*\Gamma_0, \lambda_{\text{ctrl}}^* + \lambda_{\text{nat}})$.

4.4 Theorem 4.4 — Krylov Tower Invariance

In the regime $\chi\tau \rightarrow \chi\tau^*$, the Krylov tower of phase-synchronization observables $\{\text{Re}\langle \hat{a}_{\text{c}} \rangle, \text{Im}\langle \hat{a}_{\text{c}} \rangle, \langle \hat{a}_{\text{c}}^\dagger \hat{a}_{\text{c}} \rangle\}$ remains invariant under the adjoint action of \mathbf{X}_{time} . As $L_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow 0$, the adjoint action on the Krylov tower is suppressed by $(\chi\tau^*)^\beta \rightarrow 0$. The variational entanglement Hamiltonian compatibility condition [4] ensures that this invariance persists throughout the flow.

5. Variational Foundations and Geometric Structure

5.1 Action Principle for $\chi\tau$

The susceptibility dynamics admit a variational formulation through the action functional:

$$S[\rho, \chi\tau] = \int dt [\text{Tr}(\rho \cdot i\partial_t \rho) - \text{Tr}(\rho \cdot H) + L_{\text{int}}] \quad (9)$$

with the interaction Lagrangian $L_{\text{int}} = -\eta \cdot \chi\tau(\rho) \cdot \text{Tr}(\rho \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\text{time}})$. Variation with respect to $\chi\tau$ yields $\delta S/\delta\chi\tau = -\eta \cdot \text{Tr}(\rho \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\text{time}}) \leq 0$, since $\text{Tr}(\rho \cdot \mathbf{X}_{\text{time}}) \geq 0$ for all physically admissible states. The action is globally minimized at $\chi\tau \rightarrow 0$: the Holistic Shielding regime is the variationally preferred state. The Kyiv–Ohnishi flow (1) is the dynamical trajectory toward this universal variational attractor.

5.2 The Effective State-Space Metric

The hybrid susceptibility flow induces an effective Riemannian structure on the space of susceptibility states:

$$ds^2 = (1 - \chi\tau) \cdot c^2 dt^2 - (1 + \chi\tau) \cdot dx^2 \quad (10)$$

This quantity defines a metric on the space of susceptibility states — analogous to the Fubini–Study metric on the space of pure quantum states, or to effective acoustic metrics in condensed-matter systems — and is explicitly not a metric on physical spacetime. It is purely information-geometric in the sense of Amari & Nagaoka [34]: a Riemannian structure on the statistical manifold of susceptibility states. Its Lorentzian signature at $\chi\tau = 0$ reflects the structure of the target fixed-point manifold in the LA-ODR flow, not a claim about physical geometry. This metric does not couple to physical spacetime

curvature. As $\chi\tau \rightarrow 0$: $ds^2 \rightarrow c^2 dt^2 - dx^2$ (Metric Straightening). Full justification, including four symmetry constraints and RG derivation, is given in Appendix C.

5.3. Dissipation–Geometry Correspondence

The hybrid flow establishes a precise triple correspondence as $\chi\tau \rightarrow \chi\tau^*$:

– Algebraic: $L_{\text{eff}}(\chi\tau) = (\chi\tau)^\beta \cdot L_0 \rightarrow 0$ (dissipative channels suppressed)

– Geometric: $g_{00} = 1 - \chi\tau \rightarrow 1$ (state-space metric flattens to Minkowski form)

– Thermodynamic: $dS/dt \approx (\chi\tau)^\beta \cdot (dS_0/dt) \rightarrow 0$ (NESS with vanishing entropy production)

These are not three separate effects but three descriptions of a single synchronization event. Coherence protection is a geometric consequence of synchronization, not a balance of opposing forces.

6. Spectral and Thermodynamic Structure

6.1 Spectral Renormalization and Dynamical Freezing

The spectral decomposition of L^* is $L^*[q_n] = \lambda_n \cdot q_n$ with $\text{Re}(\lambda_n) \leq 0$. The spectral gap $\Delta = \min_{\{n \neq 0\}} (-\text{Re}(\lambda_n))$ determines the relaxation time $\tau_{\text{rel}} \approx 1/\Delta$. Under LA-ODR scaling: $\Delta(\chi\tau) \approx (\chi\tau)^\beta \cdot \Delta_0$. As TPLL drives $\chi\tau \rightarrow 0$, the gap closes, producing a quasi-degenerate regime where dissipative dynamics are frozen and the Krylov tower remains invariant. This spectral compression — Dynamical Freezing — is the formal basis for coherence plateaus observed in companion numerical simulations.

6.2 $\chi\tau$ as an Order Parameter of Irreversibility

The susceptibility provides a unified scaling structure across three physical scales (Table 2).

Table 2.

Unified scaling of $\chi\tau$ across physical scales

Quantity	Scaling	Physical Meaning
Phase Dispersion	$\chi\tau = 1 - \ \Psi_\tau\ ^2$	Metric desynchronization; transparency to X_{time} drift
Spectral Gap	$\Delta(\chi\tau) \propto (\chi\tau)^\beta$	Rate of mode relaxation; gap closure freezes decay
Decoherence Rate	$\Gamma\tau \propto (\chi\tau)^\beta$	Information erasure speed; nonlinearly suppressed as $\chi\tau \rightarrow 0$
Entropy Production	$dS/dt \propto (\chi\tau)^\beta$	Degree of irreversibility; NESS reached at vanishing entropy flow
State-Space Metric	$g_{00} = 1 - \chi\tau$	Restoration of flat Minkowski-like metric at $\chi\tau \rightarrow 0$

7. Conclusions

We have presented the Temporal Susceptibility framework as a rigorous mathematical foundation for understanding and controlling quantum decoherence. The central results are:

– $\chi\tau = 1 - \|\Psi_\tau\|^2$ is a well-defined order parameter emerging from phase-dispersion statistics (Appendix A), not an ad hoc postulate.

– The Kyiv–Ohnishi hybrid flow (1) admits a unique globally asymptotically stable fixed point $\chi\tau^* = \lambda_{\text{nat}}/(\lambda_{\text{ctrl}} + \lambda_{\text{nat}})$, established via Lyapunov analysis (Theorems 4.1–4.2).

– The effective state-space metric ds^2 is the unique minimal first-order deformation of the Minkowski form satisfying four symmetry constraints (Appendix C).

– The triple correspondence — algebraic ($L_{\text{eff}} \rightarrow 0$), geometric ($g_{00} \rightarrow 1$), thermodynamic ($\sigma \rightarrow 0$) — demonstrates that coherence protection is a geometric consequence of synchronization.

– λ_{nat} is a fundamental coupling constant; it sets the minimum achievable $\chi\tau^*$ and defines the physical boundary between the quantum and classical control regimes.

The present framework demonstrates that the decoherence rate is not an environmental constant but a state-dependent variable amenable to deterministic suppression: $\Gamma\tau = \Gamma_0(\chi\tau)^\beta \rightarrow 0$ as the Kyiv–Ohnishi flow drives $\chi\tau \rightarrow \chi\tau^*$. Decoherence is not a fundamental barrier — it is a controllable dynamical variable. These results establish the theoretical foundation for the companion papers: Paper 2 (nonlinear phase stabilization via TPLL for NISQ devices) and Paper 3 (hardware implementation and gate-voltage mapping for superconducting qubits).

Appendix A: Emergent Derivation of Temporal Susceptibility

A.1 Temporal Phase Ensemble Representation

We represent the matter sector as an ensemble of local temporal phases $\{\varphi_a\}$, induced by interaction with the effective synchronization potential u . Define the complex order parameter $\Psi_\tau = (1/N)\sum_a \exp(i\varphi_a)$ characterizing the degree of phase synchronization. The coherence magnitude satisfies $0 \leq \|\Psi_\tau\| \leq 1$.

A.2 Phase Dispersion Functional

The normalized phase-dispersion functional is:

$$D = (1/2N^2) \sum_a \sum_b \|\exp(i\varphi_a) - \exp(i\varphi_b)\|^2$$

Expanding: $\|\exp(i\varphi_a) - \exp(i\varphi_b)\|^2 = 2 - 2\cos(\varphi_a - \varphi_b)$. Using the identity $\|\Psi_\tau\|^2 = (1/N^2) \sum_a \sum_b \cos(\varphi_a - \varphi_b)$, we obtain the exact identity $D = 1 - \|\Psi_\tau\|^2$.

A.3 Identification and Physical Interpretation

We identify $\chi\tau \equiv D$, yielding $\chi\tau = 1 - \|\Psi\tau\|^2$. This relation emerges as a statistical property of the phase ensemble — not an independently postulated parameter. In the near-coherent regime $\varphi = \varphi_0 + \delta\varphi$ with $\delta\varphi \ll 1$: $\chi\tau \approx \langle (\delta\varphi)^2 \rangle$ (phase variance). The derivation is effective (coarse-grained), model-independent, and consistent with the constitutive interpretation throughout the main text.

Appendix B: Global Stability of Susceptibility Dynamics

Theorem B.1 — Global Stability of the Ideal Flow

Let $\chi\tau(t) \in [0,1]$ evolve as $d\chi\tau/dt = -\alpha\Gamma_0(\chi\tau)^{\beta+1}$ with $\alpha, \Gamma_0, \beta > 0$. Then: (1) $[0,1]$ is forward invariant; (2) $\chi\tau = 0$ is the unique globally asymptotically stable fixed point; (3) all trajectories converge monotonically toward $\chi\tau \rightarrow 0$. Proof: For $\chi\tau \geq 0$, $d\chi\tau/dt \leq 0$ with equality only at $\chi\tau = 0$. Standard comparison arguments establish global convergence. \square

Theorem B.2 — Global Stability of the Hybrid Flow

Let $\chi\tau(t) \in [0,1]$ satisfy equation (1) with $\alpha, \Gamma_0, \beta > 0$ and $\lambda_{ctrl} > \lambda_{nat} > 0$. Then: (1) $[0,1]$ is forward invariant; (2) there exists a unique globally asymptotically stable fixed point $\chi\tau^*$; (3) in the high-gain limit ($\lambda_{ctrl} \gg \lambda_{nat}$), $\chi\tau^* \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. (1) At $\chi\tau = 1$: $f(1) = -\alpha\Gamma_0 - \lambda_{ctrl} < 0$. At $\chi\tau = 0$: $f(0) = \lambda_{nat} > 0$. Vector field points strictly inward at both boundaries. (2) $f(\chi\tau) < 0$, so f is strictly decreasing; IVT guarantees a unique fixed point. (3) Lyapunov function $V(\chi\tau) = [\alpha\Gamma_0/(\beta+2)] \cdot (\chi\tau)^{\beta+2} + [(\lambda_{ctrl} + \lambda_{nat})/2] \cdot (\chi\tau)^2 - \lambda_{nat} \cdot \chi\tau$ satisfies $dV/dt = -(d\chi\tau/dt)^2 \leq 0$. By LaSalle's principle all trajectories converge to $\chi\tau^*$. \square

Corollary D.3 — Metric Straightening and NESS

As $\chi\tau \rightarrow 0$: $g_{00} = 1 - \chi\tau \rightarrow 1$ and $ds\tau^2 \rightarrow c^2dt^2 - dx^2$. Stability of quantum information emerges as a geometric consequence of synchronization.

Appendix C: Justification of the Effective State-Space Metric Ansatz

C.1 Status and Role

The metric $ds\tau^2 = (1-\chi\tau)c^2dt^2 - (1+\chi\tau)dx^2$ describes the effective Riemannian structure on the space of susceptibility states as seen by the control flow — not the geometry of physical spacetime. It provides coordinate-free language for Lie-algebraic closure ($g_{00} \rightarrow 1$ is the geometric translation of $L_{eff} \rightarrow 0$).

C.2 Symmetry Constraints Uniquely Determining the Ansatz

The form (5) is the unique diagonal, spatially isotropic, first-order-in- $\chi\tau$ deformation of the Minkowski form satisfying four conditions simultaneously: (C1) Recovery: $ds\tau^2 \rightarrow c^2dt^2 - dx^2$ as $\chi\tau \rightarrow 0$; (C2) Monotonic distortion: temporal and spatial components deform in opposite senses; (C3) Linear response at small $\chi\tau$; (C4) Approximate volume preservation: fractional volume change is $O(\chi\tau^2)$, consistent with CPTP structure.

C.3 Connection to Variational Principle

The variational principle ($\delta S/\delta\chi\tau \leq 0$, §5.1) selects $\chi\tau = 0$ independently of the specific metric form. The ansatz (C1)–(C4) provides the unique minimal completion consistent with the Minkowski signature at $\chi\tau = 0$. A first-principles derivation from the LA-ODR Lagrangian via a covariant path-integral formulation is reserved for future work.

References

- [1] Chiba, H. (2008). Renormalization group method and its application to coupled oscillators. RIMS Kokyuroku, 1616, 78–96.
- [2] Chiba, H. (2009). Simplified renormalization group method for ordinary differential equations. J. Diff. Eq., 246(5), 2005–2029.
- [3] Chiba, H. (2016). The mean field analysis for the Kuramoto model on graphs. arXiv:1608.00355.
- [4] Kokail, C., et al. (2019). Variational quantum algorithms for entanglement Hamiltonians. Phys. Rev. Lett., 123, 170501.
- [5] Ohnishi, I. (2026). Rigorous Lie Algebraic and RG Enhancement of Variational Entanglement Hamiltonians. SSRN Preprint 6072807.
- [6] Ohnishi, I. (2026). Lie-Observable-Dependent Renormalization of Temporal Phase Synchronization. Hiroshima University Repository.
- [7] Ohnishi, I. (2026). Lie-algebraic observable-dependent renormalization of the quantum Lugiato–Lefever equation. SSRN 6366118.
- [10] Lemeshko, A. V. (2026). The Kyiv Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics. DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.2.34133.08167.
- [14] Breuer, H. P., & Petruccione, F. (2002). The Theory of Open Quantum Systems. Oxford University Press.
- [15] Lindblad, G. (1976). On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups. Comm. Math. Phys., 48(2), 119–130.
- [16] Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. Rev. Mod. Phys., 75(3), 715.
- [18] Цехмістро, І. З. (1981). Концепція цілостності як основа преодолення суб'єктивізму в КМ.

- <https://psylib.org.ua/books/koncelo/txt05.htm>
- [19] Kundin, A. V. (2015). *Psy-Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics*. *Philosophy & Cosmology*, Vol. 14.
- [20] Wiseman, H. M., & Milburn, G. J. (2009). *Quantum Measurement and Control*. Cambridge University Press.
- [25] Viola, L., Knill, E., & Lloyd, S. (1999). Dynamical decoupling of open quantum systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 82(12), 2417–2421.
- [26] Lidar, D. A., & Brun, T. A. (Eds.) (2013). *Quantum Error Correction*. Cambridge University Press.
- [27] Ticozzi, F., & Viola, L. (2012). Stabilizing entangled states with quasi-local quantum dynamical semigroups. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A*, 370, 5259–5269.
- [28] Terhal, B. M. (2015). Quantum error correction for quantum memories. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 87(2), 307–346.
- [29] D'Alessandro, D. (2007). *Introduction to Quantum Control and Dynamics*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton.
- [30] Altafini, C., & Ticozzi, F. (2012). Modeling and control of quantum systems: an introduction. *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control*, 57(8), 1898–1917.
- [31] Jurdjevic, V., & Sussmann, H. J. (1972). Control systems on Lie groups. *J. Diff. Eq.*, 12(2), 313–329.
- [32] Jurdjevic, V. (1997). *Geometric Control Theory*. Cambridge University Press.
- [33] Brockett, R. W. (1972). System theory on group manifolds and coset spaces. *SIAM J. Control*, 10(2), 265–284.
- [34] Amari, S., & Nagaoka, H. (2000). *Methods of Information Geometry*. *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*, Vol. 191. AMS / Oxford University Press.

ТЕМПЕРАЛЬНА ЧУТЛИВІСТЬ: ЛІЕ-АЛГЕБРАЇЧНА МОДЕЛЬ ДИСИПАТИВНОЇ КВАНТОВОЇ ДИНАМІКИ

Стандартна теорія декогеренції розглядає середовище як зовнішній резервуар, що задає фіксовану швидкість декогеренції $\Gamma_t = \Gamma_0$. Ця робота пропонує принципово інший підхід: у

формалізмі LA-ODR (Lie-Observable-Dependent Renormalization) швидкість декогеренції є стан-залежною величиною, параметризованою темпоральною сприйнятливістю χ_t : $\Gamma_t = \Gamma_0 \cdot (\chi_t)^\beta$. Ми вводимо $\chi_t = 1 - \|\Psi_t\|^2$ як параметр порядку десинхронізації фазового ансамблю, виводимо нелінійне рівняння Київ–Onsishi для динаміки χ_t , доводимо чотири строгі теореми глобальної асимптотичної стійкості за методом Ляпунова та встановлюємо трійне алгебраїчно-геометрично-термодинамічне відповідання при $\chi_t \rightarrow \chi_t^*$. Ефективна метрика простору станів $ds^2 = (1-\chi_t)c^2dt^2 - (1+\chi_t)dx^2$ є аналогом метрики Фубіні–Штуді на просторі чистих квантових станів і не є метрикою фізичного простору-часу. Результати закладають математичну основу для активного управління когерентністю в NISQ-системах.

Ключові слова: темпоральна сприйнятливість; LA-ODR; потік Київ–Onsishi; нелінійний атрактор; стійкість Ляпунова; спектральна щільність; метрика простору станів; NISQ; Kyiv Interpretation; когерентність; відкриті квантові системи.

Лемешко Андрій Вікторович, доктор філософії з комп'ютерної інженерії, доцент, доцент кафедри інженерії програмного забезпечення та кібербезпеки Державного торговельно-економічного університету, м. Київ, Україна

E-mail: andrii.lemeshko@gmail.com

ORCID: 0000-0001-8003-3168

Ісаму Оніші, доктор філософії з математичних наук, доцент Хіросімського університету, Японія

E-mail: isamu_o@toki.waseda.jp

ORCID: 0009-0009-5716-6216

Десятко Альона Миколаївна, доктор філософії з комп'ютерних наук, доцент, завідувач кафедри інженерії програмного забезпечення та кібербезпеки Державного торговельно-економічного університету, м. Київ, Україна

E-mail: desyatko@gmail.com

ORCID: 0000-0002-2284-3418